



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/864,672	05/24/2001	Bradley Alan Sparks	RCA 88,397	3803
75	90 10/06/2005		EXAM	INER
Joseph S. Tripoli			SHIBRU, HELEN	
Thomson Multimedia Licensing Inc. Patent Operation, Two Independence Way			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P.O. Box 5312			2616	
Princeton, NJ 08543-5312			DATE MAILED: 10/06/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/864,672	SPARKS ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	SHIBRU HELEN	2616
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPONDING TO STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPONDING THE MAILING IT STATES AND THE MAILING T	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tired will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) Th 3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under 	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest is/are allowed. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and a subject to by the Examination is objected to by the Examination is subject to the subject to restriction and a subject to restriction and a subject to restriction and a subject to by the subject to restriction and a subject to subject to subject to subject to restriction and a subject to res	rawn from consideration. for election requirement. her. ccepted or b) objected to by the le drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Selection is required if the drawing(s) is objected to be considered in a selection is required if the drawing(s) is objected to be considered.	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat iority documents have been receive eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/29/2005.	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 09/864,672

Art Unit: 2616

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

1. Claims 1-4 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 4, 7, and 8 of U.S. Patent No. 6,034,738. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because of the reasons set forth below.

The subject matter of claim 1 can be found in patent claim 1. Patent claim 1 recites a digital apparatus for reproducing a digital video representative signal stored on a recorded medium connected to a receiving device including a decoder, said apparatus comprising means for processing said digital video representative signal stored on the recorded medium to produce an output signal bit stream for decoding by the decoder; means for generating a display message representative of an operating mode of said apparatus; means for receiving a decoded video signal representative of said decoded output signal bit stream from the decoder and said display message signal from said generating means for adding said display message to said decoded video signal; and means coupled to said generating means for synchronizing generation of said display message signal with said decoded video signal.

Application/Control Number: 09/864,672

Art Unit: 2616

The subject matter of claims 3-4 can be found in claims 4, 7, and 8, respectively.

2. Claims 5-10 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 2, 5, and 9 of U.S. Patent No. 6,034,738 in view of Mankovitz US Pat. No. 5,515,173.

Regarding claim 5, the subject matter in this claim can be found in claim 9 of Pat. No. 6,034,738. However Patent 6,034,738 fails to claim receiving means receiving and selecting a first compressed digital video signal from a network source and a second compressed digital video signal and a display message data signal from a local source, and control means for controlling selection between said first and second compressed digital video signals, and responsive to selection of said second compressed digital video signal.

In the same field of endeavor Mankovitz teaches receiving means (see receiver in fig. 1) receiving and selecting a first compressed digital video signal from a network source and a second compressed digital video signal and a display message data signal from a local source (see col. 7 line 65- col. 8 line 20 and 42-52). Mankovitz further teaches control means for controlling selection between said first and second compressed digital video signals, and responsive to selection of said second compressed digital video signal (see col. 31 lines 2-20 and col. 38 lines 27-44). Therefore it would have been obvious to one skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify claim 9 of Patent 6,034,738 using Mankovitz in order to receive user's command.

The subject matter of claim 6 can be found in claim 9.

The subject matter of claim 7 can be found in claim 2.

The subject matter of claim 8 can be found in claim 5.

Application/Control Number: 09/864,672 Page 4

Art Unit: 2616

Regarding claims 9 and 10, the limitations of these claims can be found in claim 5 above.

Therefore claim 9 and 10 are analyzed and rejected as discussed in claim 5 above.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Helen Shibru whose telephone number is (571) 272-7329. The

examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:30AM-5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, James Groody can be reached on (571) 272-7950. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Helen Shibru

September 27, 2005

DAVID L. OMETZ SUPERVISORY PATENT

FXAMINER